

JPP 2006, 58: 909–915 © 2006 The Authors Received January 5, 2006 Accepted March 20, 2006 DOI 10.1211/jpp.58.7.0005 ISSN 0022-3573

Pain and Palliation Research Group, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, N-7489 Trondheim, Norway

Ola Dale, Turid Nilsen, Frank Skorpen

Department of Circulation and Medical Imaging, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, N-7489 Trondheim, Norway

Ola Dale, Turid Nilsen

Department of Laboratory Medicine, Children's and Woman's Health, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, N-7489 Trondheim, Norway

Kåre E. Tvedt, Frank Skorpen

NOBIPOL, Department of Biotechnology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, N-7491 Trondheim, Norway

Olav Smidsrød, Kjell M. Vårum, Gry Olaussen

Department of Anaesthesia and Emergency Medicine, St Olavs University Hospital, 7006, Trondheim, Norway

Ola Dale

Correspondence: O. Dale, Norwegian University of Science and Technology N-7489 Trondheim, Norway. E-mail: ola.dale@ntnu.no

Funding: This work was supported by grants from the Norwegian Research Council (139610/300,160579/V50, 164032/ V50, 147218/320).

**Conflicts of interest:** OD, TN, GO, KT, DA and FS have no conflicts of interest.

# Transepithelial transport of morphine and mannitol in Caco-2 cells: the influence of chitosans of different molecular weights and degrees of acetylation

Ola Dale, Turid Nilsen, Gry Olaussen, Kåre E. Tvedt, Frank Skorpen, Olav Smidsrød, Kjell M. Vårum

# Abstract

The object of this study was to compare the effect of chitosans of different number-average molecular weights (MWs) and degrees of acetylation ( $F_A$ ) on transepithelial transport of morphine in Caco-2 cells. Caco-2 monolayers on polycarbonate (PC) membranes ( $0.5 \text{ cm}^2$ ) were incubated with morphine ( $10 \mu M$ ) or mannitol ( $55 \mu M$ ) for 180 min. Samples for analysis of morphine (LCMSMS) and mannitol (liquid scintillation) were drawn at 45, 90, 120 and 180 min. Transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER) and transmission electron microscopy were used to monitor cell integrity. In controls, morphine transport was half that of mannitol. Chitosans affected the transport of morphine and mannitol similarly. For chitosans with similar  $F_A$  (0.32–0.43) and varying MWs (7–200 kD), transport was increased at MWs of 29 kD or more. Among chitosans of similar MWs (180–300 kD) and varying  $F_A$  (0.01–0.61), those with the highest  $F_A$  (0.61) had the least effect, while chitosans with  $F_A/MW$  0.01/250 and 0.17/300 promoted the greatest transport. An  $F_A/MW$  of 0.32/200 and 0.43/170 induced a high and stable transport rate. Chitosans may enhance transepithelial transport of morphine by the same mechanism as for mannitol. Chitosans with  $F_A$  of 0.3–0.4 and MW of approx. 200 kD seem favourable in this respect.

# Introduction

Morphine is a first-line opioid for the treatment of cancer pain. More hydrophilic than other opioids, such as fentanyl and methadone, its uptake and onset of action is thus slower. The treatment of some pain conditions, such as breakthrough pain characterized by rapid onset and short duration, ideally requires a rapid onset of analgesia, which can sometimes be achieved by nasal administration, although morphine is not well absorbed in man (Illum et al 2002). The problem has been overcome by using absorption enhancers in a nasal morphine formulation; chitosans are promising in this respect (Artursson et al 1994; Illum 1998; Dodane et al 1999; Smith et al 2004).

Chitosans are a family of cationic polysaccharides containing amino groups with a  $pK_a$  of 6.5, and acetylated amino groups in varying proportions (Varum & Smidsrod 2005). They are able to attach to negatively charged surfaces, such as cells, DNA and certain proteins. Their properties are crucially affected by chemical composition, compositional distribution within and among the polymer chains, and molecular weight and molecular weight distribution (Varum & Smidsrod 2005). Chitosans have mucoadhesive properties, probably as a result of ionic interactions between their positively charged amino groups and negatively charged components (sialic acid) in mucus or on cell surfaces (Schipper et al 1996). They enhance the absorption of hydrophilic drugs across the nasal epithelium, probably via a combination of mucoadhesion and the opening of tight junctions in the epithelium (Artursson et al 1994; Illum et al 1994). A glutamate salt with a molecular weight (MW) of about 250 kD and a degree of acetylation  $(F_A)$  of more than 0.2 has been used for nasal delivery (Illum 2003). Improved methods for their production and characterization (MW and  $F_A$ ) allow analysis of the significance of these factors for the biological properties of chitosans.

Caco-2, a cell line based on human colorectal carcinoma cells, is a common intestinal epithelium model for the study of transepithelial drug transport. Caco-2 cells undergo spontaneous differentiation when seeded onto permeable supporting membrane and the resulting homogeneous epithelial layer displays epithelial characteristics.

Mannitol is a metabolically inert and hydrophilic molecule. It is absorbed through the alternative aqueous paracellular pathway (i.e. through tight junctions) and is not significantly distributed into membrane cells (Artursson et al 1994), and can thus be used as a control for paracellular transport (Schipper et al 1997). Thus, the aim of this study was to investigate the influence of chitosan MW and  $F_A$  on the ability to facilitate transporthelial transport of morphine and mannitol.

### **Materials and Methods**

#### Materials

The CACO-2 cells (cat. no. HTB-37; passage 20) were supplied by ATCC (VA). The chitosans of varying  $F_A$ were prepared by homogeneous deacetylation and subsequently converted to chitosan hydrochloride salts. Chitosans of varying MW were prepared by nitrous acid depolymerization and subsequent reduction (Anthonsen et al 1993) (Table 1). The number-average molecular weights of the chitosans (MW of 170 kD and higher, Table 1) were found by determination of the intrinsic viscosity, which was converted to number-average molecular weight as previously described (Anthonsen et al 1993). The number-average molecular weights of the chitosans (MW of 66 kD and lower, Table 1) were determined by SEC-MALLS as previously described (Fredheim & Christensen 2003). Morphine (cat. no. M-35-HC-10) was obtained from LIPOMED AG (Arlesheim, Switzerland). Fetal calf serum (FCS) (cat. no. PET 10106169), penicillin/streptomycin (cat. no. PL15140122), Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (cat. no. 21969-035), non-essential amino acids (NEAA) (cat. no. 11140-035) and Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS) (cat. no. 24020-091) were obtained from Gibco BRL Life Technology (NY, USA). Trypsin/EDTA (cat. no.

 Table 1
 Denomination and characteristics of chitosans

Chitosan	$\mathbf{F_A}^{\mathbf{a}}$	MW (kD)
0.01/250	0.01	250
0.17/300	0.17	300
0.32/200	0.32	200
0.43/200	0.43	170
0.61/180	0.61	180
0.43/7	0.43	7
0.39/12	0.39	12
0.35/29	0.35	29
0.35/66	0.35	66

F<sub>A</sub>, degree of acetylation; MW, number-average molecular weight.

L11-004) was from PAA Laboratories GmbH (Linz, Austria). Insulin (cat. no. I-5500), L-glutamine (cat. no. G-3126), *N*-(2-hydroxyethyl)-piperazine-*N*-(2-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) (cat. no. H-4034), 2*N*-morpholino-ethanesulfonic acid (MES) (cat. no. M-8250), 1-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2yl)-3,5-diphenylformazan (MTT) (cat. no. M-2128), NADH (cat. no. N-8129), EDTA di-sodium salt (Triplex III), Trizma base (cat. no. T-1503) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (cat. no. D-8418) were from Sigma (MO). <sup>14</sup>C Mannitol (cat. no. ARC 127 A) was from American Radio Labeled Chemicals Inc. (MO). Scint Hei 3 (cat. no. 1007400) scintillation liquid was from Zinsser Analytic (Frankfurt, Germany).

Cell culture flasks, 24-well plates, cryotubes and the cell supporting membranes polycarbonate (PC) Nunc N-24137052, ( $\phi$ 10 mm, 0.4  $\mu$ m pore size) were obtained from NUNC Brand Products (Denmark). Due to delivery failure from Nunc PC membranes, cell supporting membranes polyethylene terephthalate (PET) 353495 and 353095 ( $\phi$  6.2 mm, 0.4  $\mu$ m pore size) from BD Biosciences (MA, USA) were also used in one set of experiments. Experiments to compare these supporting membranes were performed. The pH-electrode used was from Mettler Toledo 6030-M3/180/1M/BNC and the pH meter PHM 210 was from Radiometer, Copenhagen.

#### Cell cultivation passage 20-45

Cells from ATCC (passage 20) were cultivated according to protocol: 5%  $CO_2$  in DMEM containing  $4.5 \,g \,L^{-1}$  glucose,  $3.7 \,g \,L^{-1}$  sodium bicarbonate and 1 mM Na-pyruvate supplemented with 20% FCS, 1% NEAA, L-glutamine (2 mM), insulin (10 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Only cells from passages 35–45 were used.

# Incubation of Caco-2 cells in polycarbonate well inserts

Cells were seeded  $(1.75 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/insert})$  into the well inserts (0.4  $\mu$ m PC membranes) in 24-well plates. Further cultivation in the same medium as above allowed the cells to spontaneously differentiate and polarize into the epithelial monolayer within 21 days. Cultivation medium was changed every 48 h. Cells were used for experiments after 21–23 days on membranes.

#### Preparation of chitosans/drug solutions

Chitosans (250  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) were dissolved in HBSS buffered with MES (20 mM, pH 5.7). The stock solutions were stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C. After appropriate dilution and the addition of the transport substrate morphine 10  $\mu$ M or mannitol 55  $\mu$ M, all solutions were filtered (0.2  $\mu$ m, sterile). The specific activity of the purchased mannitol solution was 55 mCi mmol<sup>-1</sup>. Non-radiolabelled mannitol was added to obtain a final specific activity of 13.6 mCi mmol<sup>-1</sup>. The dissolution of chitosans in HBSS/MES was assessed by a ninhydrin test in both the filtered and the non-filtered solutions (Prochazkova et al 1999). No significant loss of chitosans at the concentration of  $250 \,\mu \text{g mL}^{-1}$  was range v observed (data not shown), indicating full dissolution lateral

#### Transport studies and sampling procedures

of all chitosans at this pH.

The transport study was performed on PC cell supporting membranes (Nunc) with the nine different chitosans listed in Table 1. Chitosans at two different concentrations (50 and  $250 \,\mu \text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) were tested. All experiments were done with four parallels on 24-well plates. In each plate four controls, containing all ingredients but no chitosans, were included. The cell epithelial layers were conditioned (by replacing the DMEM with HBSS/MES and HBSS/ HEPES at the apical and basolateral side, respectively) and left for 15 min in at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER) was measured (see below). The transport experiments were initiated by replacing the HBSS/MES solutions with  $500 \,\mu\text{L}$  of the chitosans/substrate solution on the apical side. Volumes of  $25\,\mu\text{L}$  from both the apical and basolateral compartments were withdrawn at 45, 90, 120 and 180 min. Between sampling, the cells were kept in an incubator at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> environment with very mild shaking on a Multi-tube Vortexer (Baxter Scientific Products).

#### pH measurements

The solubility of chitosans depends on the pH and at lower  $F_A$  values a pH value < 6 is required (Varum et al 1994). Thus, apical HBSS solution with chitosans was buffered to pH 5.7 with MES and the basolateral HBSS solutions were buffered with HEPES to pH 7.4. The pH was also measured at the start and the end of the experiment for chitosans 1–5 to identify any damage to wells.

### TEER ( $\Omega$ cm<sup>-2</sup>) measurements

TEER was measured to assess the integrity of the cell monolayer on the membrane support just before and immediately after the experiments. TEER was measured by using the Millicell electrode (Millipore Corporations, MA, USA) designed for this purpose. Net resistance was obtained by subtracting the resistance obtained in the solutions over the membrane without cells. Only data from epithelial layers with  $\Omega > 300$  were used for data analysis.

#### Quantification of morphine

Morphine was quantified by high-performance liquid chromatography ion spray tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS, Sciex API-300). The limit of quantification (LOQ) was 2.8 nmol L<sup>-1</sup>. The calibration range was 3.5–175 nmol L<sup>-1</sup>. The correlation coefficients ( $r^2$ ) were > 0.99. The average coefficients of variation (CV) found by inter-run quality controls (QCs, n=12) at 4 levels distributed over the calibration range were < 9.7%. Samples  $(25\,\mu\text{L})$  from the basolateral compartment were mixed with  $125\,\mu\text{L}$  mobile phase (MF, 5% acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid). The HPLC column was a Zorbax SB-C18 (7.5 cm,  $5\,\mu\text{m}$ , 4.6 mm i.d.). The injection volume was  $80\,\mu\text{L}$ and the RT was 1.7 min. The ion spray source was operated with a spray voltage of 4.8 kV, an orifice voltage of 54V and nebulizer gas of 1.04 L min<sup>-1</sup>. The ring voltage was 380 V. The mass spectrometer was operated in MS-MS mode (multiple reaction monitoring, MRM) and the product ion was m/z 286.2. The fragmentation occurred at collision energies of -27 eV. Nitrogen was used as collision gas.

# <sup>14</sup>C mannitol quantification

Samples were counted in a liquid scintillation analyser (Tricarb 2300TR; Packard Instruments Laborel, Oslo, Norway). Twenty-five microlitres of the basolateral solution was mixed with 2 mL of the scintillation liquid and counted for 5 min.

# Apparent permeability coefficient (P<sub>app</sub>) of mannitol and morphine

Calculations of transepithelial transport rate is given as  $P_{app}$  (cm s<sup>-1</sup>), the apparent permeability coefficient, described by Artursson et al (1994) and is calculated using equation 1:

$$\mathbf{P}_{app} = (d\mathbf{Q}/dt)/(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{C}_0) \tag{1}$$

where dQ/dt is the permeability rate (mol min<sup>-1</sup>), C<sub>0</sub> (mol mL<sup>-1</sup>) is the initial concentration at the apical side (donor chamber) and A (cm<sup>2</sup>) is the surface area of the cell support membrane. P<sub>app</sub> was independently calculated at each time point separately.

# Light microscopy and transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

The differentiated cell layer on PET membranes (BDH) where fixed overnight in 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.2. It was then exposed for 1 h to 2% osmium tetraoxide in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, dehydrated and embedded in resin. Semi-thin sections (1000 nm) stained in toluidine blue were examined by a light microscope. Ultra-thin sections (70 nm) were cut and collected on 75 mesh formvar-coated copper grids, contrasted for 25 min with 4% uranyl acetate and for 5 min with 1% lead citrate, before examination with a JEOL 100CX transmission electron microscope (2000×).

# Statistics

The  $P_{app}$  value at 180 min was the primary outcome measure. One-way analysis of variance was used to determine differences from control incubations. Bonferroni

correction was used for post-hoc multiple comparisons; *t*-test was used for group comparisons.

# Results

Figure 1 shows the data for mannitol, a general marker for transepithelial transport. The transepithelial transport rate of mannitol ( $P_{app}$  in cm s<sup>-1</sup>), at chitosan concentrations of  $50 \,\mu g \, m L^{-1}$  (Figure 1A), indicated that within a relatively narrow MW range (170-300 kD), chitosans increased the transport rate (within-group difference P = 0.033). The difference is seen more clearly in Figure 1B, which compares the same chitosans at  $250 \,\mu g \,m L^{-1}$ . The P<sub>app</sub> values for chitosans with 0.01/250, 0.17/300 and 0.32/200 (F<sub>A</sub>/ MW) differed from control (P < 0.05) at 180 min. A 3-fold increase in  $P_{app}$  value with time was observed for chitosans 0.01/250 and 0.17/300, while the  $P_{\rm app}$  values were stable for the others. When testing chitosans  $(250 \,\mu \text{g mL}^{-1})$  at MWs in the range 7–200 kD (Figure 1C), and a narrow range of  $F_A$  (0.32–0.43), chitosan 0.43/7 did not differ statistically from control at 180 min; however, those of MW 29 kD or more gave greater values (P < 0.05).

The corresponding data for the transepithelial transport of morphine is shown in Figure 2. Chitosans had similar effects on the transepithelial transport of morphine to those of mannitol. However, the  $P_{app}$  values of morphine controls  $(1.07 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm s}^{-1})$  were less than those of mannitol  $(2.63 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm s}^{-1})$ , P < 0.001, *t*-test). Transepithelial morphine transport was more sensitive to chitosans than mannitol, as the  $P_{\rm app}$  values for chitosans 0.01/250, 0.17/300 and 0.32/200 differed from control (P < 0.05) at 180 min at 50  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 2A), in contrast to the lack of findings for mannitol (Figure 1A). This was supported by the observation (Figure 2B) that by increasing the chitosan concentration to  $250 \,\mu g \,m L^{-1}$ , all chitosans except 0.61/180 differed statistically from control (P < 0.05). Finally (Figure 2C), in experiments with chitosans at 250  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> (MW 7–200 kD, F<sub>A</sub> 0.32–0.43), that with MW of 29 kD or more differed statistically from control (P < 0.05).

TEER measurements (data not shown) conducted before and after incubation with morphine displayed a reduction similar to the pattern of morphine transport rate with respect to the effects of chitosans (P=0.022and 0.002 for 250 and  $50 \,\mu \text{g m L}^{-1}$ , respectively). Post-hoc analysis was negative for the  $250 \,\mu \text{g m L}^{-1}$ experiments. For the  $50 \,\mu \text{g m L}^{-1}$  experiments chitosan 0.01/250 differed from both control (P=0.020), and chitosans 0.32/200 and 0.61/180 (P=0.027 and 0.001, respectively).

In separate experiments,  $P_{app}$  values for mannitol and morphine were almost identical with BD (PET) and Nunc PC membranes. Experiments (with BD PET membranes due to NUNCs delivery failure) similar to those shown in Figures 1 and 2C at chitosans concentrations of  $50 \,\mu g \, m L^{-1}$  showed no significant effects (data not shown). Since morphine was analysed by a specific



Figure 1 Effect of chitosans on transepithelial transport rate of mannitol across Caco-2 cell monolayer. A. Effect of chitosans  $50 \,\mu g \,m L^{-1}$ . Control (♦) and chitosans  $F_A 0.01/MW 250 \,kD$  (■),  $F_A 0.17/MW 300 \,kD$  (▲),  $F_A 0.32/MW 200 \,kD$  (×),  $F_A 0.43/MW 170 \,kD$  (\*),  $F_A 0.61/MW 180 \,kD$  (●). Data are means ± s.d., n = 12. B. Effect of chitosans  $250 \,\mu g \,m L^{-1}$ . See Figure 1A for symbols. Data are means ± s.d., n = 12. \*P < 0.05 vs control (analysis of variance with Bonferroni correction). C. Effect of chitosans  $250 \,\mu g \,m L^{-1}$ . Control (♦) and chitosans  $F_A 0.43/MW 7 \,kD$  (□),  $F_A 0.35/MW 29 \,kD$  (○),  $F_A 0.35/MW 66 \,kD$  (◇),  $F_A 0.32/MW 200 \,kD$  (×). Data are means ± s.d., n = 4. \*P < 0.05 vs control.



**Figure 2** Effect of chitosans on transepithelial transport rate of morphine across Caco-2 cell monolayer. A. Effect of chitosan 50 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>. Ctrl (◆) and chitosans F<sub>A</sub>0.01/MW 250 kD (●), F<sub>A</sub>0.17/MW 300 kD (▲), F<sub>A</sub>0.32/MW 200 kD (×), F<sub>A</sub>0.43/MW 170 kD (\*), F<sub>A</sub>0.61/MW 180 kD (●). Data are means ± s.d., n = 12. \**P* < 0.05 vs control. B. Effect of chitosan 250 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>. See Figure 2A for symbols. Data are means ± s.d., n = 12. \**P* < 0.05 vs control. C. Effect of chitosan 250 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>. Ctrl (◆) and chitosans F<sub>A</sub>0.43/MW 7kD (□), F<sub>A</sub>0.39/MW 12 kD (△), F<sub>A</sub>0.35/MW 29 kD (O), F<sub>A</sub>0.35/MW 66 kD (◇), F<sub>A</sub>0.32/MW 200 kD (×). Data are means ± s.d., n = 8. \**P* < 0.05 vs control.

LC-MS/MS method, it was possible to document that the morphine metabolites M-3-G and M-6-G were not present in the basolateral compartment in detectable amounts.

Histological examination revealed a continuous layer of cuboidal cells (Figure 3). Microvilli were found on the apical cell surface (Figure 3) and each cell was typically in close contact with the opposed lateral domains of neighboring cells, often forming extensive interdigitating folds (Figure 4). The cells were further anchored to each other by numerous desmosomes (maculae adherentes).



**Figure 3** Typical TEM low power micrograph of Caco-2 monolayer epithelium. Microvilli are evident on the apical surface of the cells. N, nucleus.



**Figure 4** High power TEM micrograph of a typical apicolateral site, showing two cells in close contact, anchored by extensive interdigitating folds and numerous desmosomes (d). Most apically, a tight junction (arrowhead) is seen. mv, microvillus, m, mitochondrion.

Apicolaterally, tight junctions (zonulae occludentes) were easily demonstrated. The integrity of the monolayer was further verified with TEER measurements recorded at the start of each experiment. Less than 10% of the wells were excluded from analysis due to low electrical resistance. Similar TEER was observed when Caco-2 cells differentiated on supporting membranes from both BD (PET) and Corning (PC), indicating that the experimental model was robust. Also the minor changes in mean pH from 5.70 to 5.90 (n = 96, s.d. 0.05) on the apical side and from pH 7.40 to 7.37 (n = 48, s.d. 0.05) on the basolateral side after 180 min incubation with chitosans verify the integrity of the wells.

# Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first published study of the interaction of chitosans over Caco-2 epithelial monolayers on the transport of morphine. It shows that their effect on transepithelial transport of morphine depends on the chitosan concentration, degree of acetylation, molecular weight and also incubation time. Mannitol was used as a positive control. Morphine concentrations were measured directly in the buffer with a method also capable of detecting metabolites of morphine. The integrity and differentiation of the monolayer was documented with transmission electronic microscopy. The light and electron microscopic findings suggest a well-differentiated, polarized epithelial monolayer, with advanced junctional complexes. This was also supported by TEER recordings both before and after incubations. Also, the transepithelial mannitol transport rate is a hallmark for the functionality of the Caco-2 model epithelial monolayer (Schipper et al 1996; Darwin et al 1998; Phillips et al 2002). The rate for the controls was within the previously reported range ( $\leq 4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ ) (Schipper et al 1996; Darwin et al 1998; Phillips et al 2002), indicating that the experiments had been carried out under adequate conditions.

The concentrations of chitosans, as well as the variation in  $F_A$  and MW used in this study, are within previously published ranges (Schipper et al 1996; Holme et al 2000; Thanou et al 2000). Our results confirm earlier findings (Schipper et al 1996) that chitosans stimulate the transpithelial transport rate of mannitol and also that their characteristics have varying effects on mannitol transport. The morphine concentrations used are also in the same range as reported by Wandel et al (2002). However, these authors did not report  $P_{app}$  values and it is therefore not possible to compare their data with ours. Moreover, since an analysis of the metabolites of morphine was included in this study, it can be concluded that the Caco-2 cells do not significantly metabolize morphine.

Chitosans affect transpithelial transport of morphine in the same way they affect mannitol transport. It was least stimulated by chitosans with the highest  $F_A$  (0.61), especially for morphine. Those with a low  $F_A$  (and consequently a high degree of protonation) and a MW of 200–300 kD clearly showed the highest transport rate at 180 min, although the effect was modest at 45 min. An increase in transpithelial transport rate with time may be explained by an increased facilitation of physiological transport, but this only occurred with chitosans with an  $F_A$  much below 0.32, which were previously shown to be more toxic than those with an  $F_A$  close to 0.35 (Schipper et al 1996). Although no direct experimental support was provided in our study, this observation is possibly a subtle sign of incipient deleterious effects on cell function (Schipper et al 1996).

Schipper et al (1996) showed that the impact of MW on mannitol transport at 20-60 min was greatest for chitosans with FA 0.35. At this FA, MW 12 was equal to control; at 170 kD the rate was increased about 10 times. Our study supports this finding, as chitosans in the same F<sub>A</sub> range (0.32–0.43) displayed no effect unless their molecular weight was 29 kD or more. Schipper et al (1996) concluded that chitosans with intermediate F<sub>A</sub> (about 0.35) and a high molecular weight (about 200 kD) showed good enhancement of transepithelial transport of mannitol combined with low cytotoxicity. Our data confirm this for mannitol, and can be extended to morphine transport, as chitosans with  $F_A/MW$  values of 0.32/200 were the only ones with  $F_A > 0.30$  that showed any effect at the lowest concentrations (50  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>). They also performed well in the other experiments. Chitosans used clinically to enhance nasal absorption of morphine (Illum et al 2002; Pavis et al 2002) usually have a MW of about 250 kD and  $F_A > 0.2.$ 

Chitosans specifically affect the tight junctions and thus stimulate paracellular transport of mannitol (Artursson et al 1994; Dodane et al 1996; Illum 1998). This may also be true for morphine, since the effects on mannitol and morphine are similar in this study. Illum et al (2002) showed that chitosans increase the nasal bioavailability of morphine from 10 to 60%. It seems therefore likely that increased paracellular transport is the major mechanism for increased transepithelial transport of morphine.

However, there are also differences in the effect of chitosans on the transepithelial transport of morphine and mannitol, in that morphine exhibits a lower control rate, and seems more sensitive to the effects of chitosans. This may be caused by their different structures and physiochemical characteristics. Another explanation might be the possible metabolization of morphine by Caco-cells; however, no metabolites of morphine were found in this study. A third explanation may be that morphine is a substrate for P-glycoprotein, a membrane structure that counteracts the transcellular transport of morphine. This mechanism exists in man (Kharasch et al 2003) and also operates in Caco-2 cells (Crowe 2002; Wandel et al 2002). Whether chitosans have any effect on the activity of P-glycoprotein remains unresolved.

In conclusion, chitosans stimulate transepithelial transport of mannitol and morphine in a similar manner, suggesting similar mechanisms of action. The reasons for the observed differences between the effects of chitosan remain unclear; they depend on molecular weight, degree of acetylation and, in some instances, the duration of incubation. Chitosans with an acetylation of 0.3–0.4 and molecular weight about 200 kD seem to have favourable characteristics for enhancement of transepithelial transport of morphine.

#### References

- Anthonsen, M. W., Varum, K. M., Smidsrod, O. (1993) Solution properties of chitosans: conformation and chain stiffness of chitosans with different degrees of N-acetylation. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 22: 193–201
- Artursson, P., Lindmark, T., Davis, S. S., Illum, L. (1994) Effect of chitosan on the permeability of monolayers of intestinal epithelial-cells (Caco-2). *Pharm. Res.* 11: 1358–1361
- Crowe, A. (2002) The influence of P-glycoprotein on morphine transport in Caco-2 cells. Comparison with paclitaxel. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 440: 7–16
- Darwin, A., Timmins, M., Woods, W. J., Kashdan, M. (1998) Automated 3-day Caco-2 cell monolayers and compound permeability measurements. *The Cell Line* 8: 1–6
- Dodane, V., Khan, M. A., Merwin, J. R. (1996) Effect of chitosan on transpithelial permeability and epithelial morphology. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 7: 3537
- Dodane, V., Amin, K. M., Merwin, J. R. (1999) Effect of chitosan on epithelial permeability and structure. *Int. J. Pharm.* 182: 21–32
- Fredheim, G. E., Christensen, B. E. (2003) Polyelectrolyte complexes: interactions between lignosulfonate and chitosan. *Biomacromolecules* 4: 232–239
- Holme, H. K., Hagen, A., Dornish, M. (2000) Influences of chitosans on permeability of human intestinal epithelial (Caco-2) cells: the effect of molecular weight, degree of deacetylation and exposure time. *Adv. Chitin Sci.* 4: 259–265
- Illum, L. (1998) Chitosan and its use as a pharmaceutical excipient. *Pharm. Res.* 15: 1326–1331
- Illum, L. (2003) Nasal drug delivery possibilities, problems and solutions J. Control. Release 87: 187–198
- Illum, L., Farraj, N. F., Davis, S. S. (1994) Chitosan as a novel nasal delivery system for peptide drugs. *Pharm. Res.* 11: 1186–1189

- Illum, L., Watts, P., Fisher, A. N., Hinchcliffe, M., Norbury, H., Jabbal-Gill, I., Nankervis, R., Davis, S. S. (2002) Intranasal delivery of morphine. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 301: 391–400
- Kharasch, E. D., Hoffer, C., Whittington, D., Sheffels, P. (2003) Role of P-glycoprotein in the intestinal absorption and clinical effects of morphine. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* **74**: 543–554
- Pavis, H., Wilcock, A., Edgecombe, J., Carr, D., Manderson, C., Church, A., Fisher, A. (2002) Pilot study of nasal morphinechitosan for the relief of breakthrough pain in patients with cancer. J. Pain Symptom Management 24: 598–602
- Phillips, J. E., Lakshmi Kamath, L., Arena, A. A., Engelstein, M. (2002) *Performance characterization using Caco-2 cells and automation*. Lakshmi Kamath, L., Arena, A. A., Engelstein.M. (eds) Millipore Corporation, Palm Springs, CA
- Prochazkova, S., Vårum, K. M., Østgaard, K. (1999) Quantitative determinations of chitosans by ninhydrin. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 38: 115–122
- Schipper, N. G. M., Vårum, K. M., Artursson, P. (1996) Chitosans as absorption enhancers for poorly absorbable drugs. 1. Influence of molecular weight and degree of acetylation on drug transport across human intestinal epithelial (Caco-2) cells. *Pharm. Res.* 13: 1686–1692
- Schipper, N. G. M., Olsson, S., Hoogstraate, J. A., deBoer, A. G., Varum, K. M., Artursson, P. (1997) Chitosans as absorption enhancers for poorly absorbable drugs. 2. Mechanism of absorption enhancement. *Pharm. Res.* 14: 923–929
- Smith, J., Wood, E., Dornish, M. (2004) Effect of chitosan on epithelial cell tight junctions. *Pharm. Res.* 21: 43–49
- Thanou, M. M., Kotze, A. F., Scharringhausen, T., Luessen, H. L., de Boer, A. G., Verhoef, J. C., Junginger, H. E. (2000) Effect of degree of quaternization of N-trimethyl chitosan chloride for enhanced transport of hydrophilic compounds across intestinal Caco-2 cell monolayers. J. Control. Release 64: 15–25
- Varum, K. M., Smidsrod, O. (2005) Structure-property relationship in chitosans. In: Dimitrin, S. (ed.) *Polysaccharides*. *Structural diversity and functional versatility*. Elsevier, New York, pp 625–642
- Varum, K. M., Ottøy, M. H., Smidsrod, O. (1994) Water solubility of partially N-acetylated chitosans as a function of pH: Effect of chemical composition and depolymerizarion. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 25: 65–70
- Wandel, C., Kim, R., Wood, M., Wood, A. (2002) Interaction of morphine, fentanyl, sufentanil, alfentanil, and loperamide with the efflux drug transporter P-glycoprotein 2. *Anesthesiology* 96: 913–920